

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gev

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,505	07/11/2003	Kenji Mukai	52478-0517	4004
75	90 03/11/2005		EXAMINER	
Joseph W. Price			YAMNITZKY, MARIE ROSE	
PRICE, GESS & Ste. 250	& UBELL		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2100 S.E. Main St.			1774	
Irvine, CA 92	614		DATE MAILED: 03/11/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				_0			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/617,505	MUKAI ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Marie R. Yamnitzky	1774				
Period 1	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE - Ext afte - If th - If N - Fail	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. He period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reploperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period fure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 J	July 2003.					
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposi	tion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>8-83</u> is/are pending in the application.						
_	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)∐							
7)∐	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>8-83</u> are subject to restriction and/or	alaction requirement					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	election requirement.					
	tion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine			,			
10)[]	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		The state of the s				
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E.	xaminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action of form P1O-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica	ntion No. <u>09/880,612</u> .				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ved in this National Stage				
*	application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list		vod.				
,	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	tor the certified copies not receiv	reu.				
A44a-b	.a/a\						
Attachmer 1) Notic	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) [ [ [ [ [ ] ] ] ] ]	(DTO 442)				
_	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	_	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
ı apı	// 110(0)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:		40			

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- (a) a light source/luminaire having the characteristics recited in claims 8 and 68 and/or having the characteristics recited in claims 23 and 72 (the characteristics recited in 8/68 being similar to those recited in 23/72); or
- (b) a light source/luminaire having the characteristics recited in claims 38 and 76 and/or having the characteristics recited in claims 53 and 80 (the characteristics recited in 38/76 being similar to those recited in 53/80);

wherein for (a) or (b), the light source/luminaire comprises a combination of three or four phosphors which together provide bivalent europium, bivalent manganese, trivalent terbium and trivalent europium wherein the three or four phosphors are selected from those recited in present claims 11-14.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits-to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic with respect to species (a) and (b).

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. For example, an election of species (a) with a further election of the phosphors BaMgAl<sub>10</sub>O<sub>17</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>,Mn<sup>2+</sup>; LaPO<sub>4</sub>:Ce<sup>3+</sup>, Tb<sup>3+</sup>; and Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>:Eu<sup>3+</sup> is one possible response to the election of species requirement. Present claims 8-18, 23-35, 37 and

68-75 would read on this species. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Joseph Price on March 03, 2005 to request an oral election to the above election of species requirement, but did not result in an election being made. Mr. Price requested that the requirement be made in writing.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Marie R. Yamnitzky at telephone number (571) 272-1531. The examiner works a flexible schedule but can generally be reached at this number from 6:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday, and every other Wednesday from 6:30 a.m. to 3:00 p.m.

The current fax numbers for Art Unit 1774 are (703) 872-9306 for all official faxes. (Unofficial faxes to be sent directly to examiner Yamnitzky can be sent to (571) 273-1531.)

MRY March 07, 2005

> MARIE YAMNITZKY PRIMARY EXAMINER

Marie R. Yamitzky

Page 4

1174